

"Public Opinion and Policy Making: The Changing Dynamics of Indian Democracy"

Meenakshi Arya,

Department of political science, S.S.J campus Almora

Abstract: The increasing impact of public opinion on Indian policy-making is examined in this paper. Increased shifts in socioeconomic cycles and communication through media advancements and internet interactions have significantly altered the citizen-government relationship. This essay will look at how public opinion is formed, how it might be applied, and the issues that arise in a multicultural democracy. The report also examines pertinent literature in the context of shifting public and policy response. The findings demonstrate that even while public opinion is now more visible and direct, institutional, political, and sociocultural variables still have an impact on the imbalance of citizen perspective inclusion in policy.

Keywords: Public opinion; policy making; Indian democracy; digital participation; governance; media influence; political communication

I. INTRODUCTION:

One of the most important pillars of any democratic system is public opinion because it determines the way in which governments operate as well as how policies are packaged. The voice of the masses has always been influential in political processes of India denoting a country characterized by enormous cultural, language, economic, and social diversity. The character, extent, and influence of the people opinion have however changed significantly in last few decades. The agents that have brought about this change entail economic liberalization, development of mass media, emergence of the social media, improved levels of literacy, and the proliferation of civil society networks. Such developments have brought new avenues where the citizens raise issues, affect political discussions, and hold the policymakers accountable.

The policy-making in India traditionally was based on the electoral mandate, political ideologies, the experience of the bureaucracies, and the development plans on the long-term basis. The common citizen engagement was restricted to voting in elections and local consultations/meetings a few times. Newspapers, radio and direct exposure by a few television networks played the major role of mediating the public opinion. This arrangement implied that although the government could take into account the needs of the people in the country, the relation between the population and the policymakers was slow, selective, as well as, much dominated by political and administrative organizations.

Since 1990s, the communication environment has been altered drastically. Due to the boom in the development of the individual television news stations, FM radio, and subsequently, the digital media platforms, the formation and expression of public opinion have transformed. The citizens had real-time information, discussions and arguments. Concerns which had been seen as pure to academic or administrative discourse started to permeate popular society. The media at work began to put pressure on political decisions in a more direct way, with governments beginning to react to apparent opinion.

New patterns of democratic participation emerged in the 2010s with social media allowing people to participate in democracy through social media platforms (Facebook, Twitter, YouTube, and WhatsApp). The voices of the citizens were more direct, decentralized and powerless. Hashtag movements, public campaigns, online petitions, videos then got potent powers to influence political discourse and pressurize governments to act. Indian movements

like the India Against Corruption mantras, women safety movements, environmental activism demonstrated the potential to know how digitally popular opinion could quickly gain mass backing due to the knowledge of digital divide and mass mobilization.

Along with these positive changes are the emerging challenges of the growing role of the public opinion. Digital divide implies that only part of the population engages extensively in web deliberations thus providing unbalanced representation. Also, false information, misleading news, and politically affiliated posts have the power to confuse the masses and impact the policy discussions. Emotional or polarizing words spread faster than facts and they create sentiments in a manner which may not necessarily lead to the rational decision-making. Through this, the policymakers are left to balance between justified citizen interests and the danger of controlling or peer pressured masses.

The dynamics of the democratic governance asks some crucial questions, such as the nature of the situation under the changing public opinion in India. What is the reaction of policymakers to the demands of the community in the era of instant communication? How effective is the change in policy resulting due to the pressure of the people? The question is how the political actors and interest groups influence or manipulate the opinion of the people? And what are institutional mechanisms to be able to hear different voices in a fair and responsible manner?

To answer these questions, this paper will analyse how the role of the public opinion in Indian policy-making is changing. It examines hypothetical issues on the way people think, the historical process of interaction between citizens and the state, the role played by the media and technology and the present-day case studies in which the relation between the sentiment of the people and the consequences of the policies is explored. The idea is to give an overarching view of the role played by public opinion in the democratic government in India and how the decision-makers can combine responsiveness with stability, inclusiveness, and evidence-based decision-making.

II. LITERATURE SURVEY:

The literature used in the review indicates complex nexus between the social opinion, impacts of media, use of the internet, government and policy making in India. Banerjee (1) (2019) has also pointed out that Indian political communication has evolved significantly with introduction of the digital media exposing the fact that online platforms have transformed how a political message is spread and the way the citizens have the digital political messages. Bhatia (2) (2016) also felt that the blistering expansions of media authority altered the trends in political communication and the media has emerged one of the central agents in the procedure of shaping political speech and influencing individuals. Chaudhuri (3) (2008) has examined the question on citizenship and political behaviour and he has indicated that the opinion of the Indians is grounded in the discovering of social and cultural, or the experience of the people in India that makes the political answers different and contextual. In a bid to further supplement this, Desai (4) (2013) made it clear that the social media has contributed to the phenomenon of political mobilization and, consequently, indicated to the digital tool, which allowed the citizens to organize, discuss and influence the results of political processes on an unprecedented scale.

Jayal (5) (2009) focused on understanding the phenomenon of democratic deepening in India and had views that the increased level of participation and representation of the representatives have strengthened the democratic institutions despite the existence of disparity in the field of political access. Other case studies were also conducted by Kumar (6) (2004) analyzing the issue of public policy and the role played by citizens and noted that more citizens are being consulted in order that origins and policymakers could create an inclusive policy. Mehta (7) (2014) expounded this perspective by subduing to the governance challenges in the digital age and hence illustrating the fact that digitization has

rendered the system to be more transparent but offered new opportunities to the administrative and regulation needs. Mitra (8) (2011) has also provided a broader view of the Indian politics highlighting how the process of transforming state-citizen relationship is self-reflecting on a democratic expectation and the governing institutions.

Rajagopal (9) (2005) also developed the concept of the media democracy where new model of political interests and accountability brought a new dimension as determined by media. Shastri (10) (2001) analysed the voting behaviors and discovered that social-economic issues, media and political awareness have dictated the voting patterns of Indians nationwide. The study of the populace opinion and the policy making process as implemented by Sharma (11) (2015) revealed that the popular mood expressed in both the traditional and digital medium are more sensitive to the policy makers. Shrivastava (12) (2018) indicated that social media is transforming the opinion of individuals through the provision of space where there is a debate as well as resulting in polarization and false information.

According to Singh (13) (2006), the governance reforms were talked about and it was stated that, there is an increased participation of the citizens due to the administrative modernization and decentralization. Pillai (14) (2019) evaluated the connections between the opinions of the populace and that of the policy and found that even though the pressure of the people is an important aspect in connecting the policy outcome, it only becomes efficient under the condition of transparent organisations that are politically willing to do so. Tillu (15) (2012) has also discussed the issue of mass protest by citizens as well as illustrated how the citizen movements can elicit serious policy response especially when they are supported by this media coverage. In this assessment, Thussu (16) (2007) performed an analysis of the development of television news that showed that television news has significantly changed the discourse of the people, it gives more visibility and immediacy in politics. Yadav (17) (2010) has led to the discovery of some new trends when it comes to political participation and has highlighted a trend of the direction towards more issue-oriented, informed and active citizenship.

Finally, Gupta V (18) (2017) and Gupta N (19) (2002) were also contributing their part on the phenomenon of democratic participation and the part that media plays in shaping the opinion of people. The digital participation, as depicted in Gupta V. (2017), enhances the democratic engagement through not only making the information available but also enabling the direct engagement between the political actors. Earlier research by Gupta N. (2002) has already indicated the situational roles of media in the domain of democratic participation where the media visibility enhances the awareness of the polity and teenagers' attitude towards good governance.

Indirectly, these studies help to reveal that the Indian population as a whole is considered a complicated and dynamic phenomenon under the influence of the traditional social structure, the increasing media scenes, online technologies, and the evolving governing trends. There has always been a reference in the literature that through the media and the digital platform, it has succeeded in bringing the prestige and the power of the general opinion closer to the reality, but that institutional limits, inaccurate data and the actual policy input continue to dictate to what degree the voices of people are going to translate into actual policy outcomes.

III. PUBLIC OPINION:

The popular opinion is a set of opinion, attitude and likes aired by citizens on matters of national interest. It reflects the perception that people have on political behavior, social processes, economic policies, and performance of a government. In a democratic state, the popular end is the driving force that governs the leaders in politics and government. In India, there is a variety of sources of public opinion such as traditional community networks, caste and regional identities, religious beliefs, media discourses, mass discourses, and more and

more digital. Since India is socially differentiated, there are commonly differences in the public opinion amongst various regions, socio-economic structures, and cultures. It changes and is sensitive to emergent events that could include economic crises, corruption scandals, natural calamities and policy decisions. Electoral results are just not dictated by the public opinion but constant pressure is also put on the governments to perform, keep in check, and change policies where warranted.

IV. POLICY MAKING:

The process of policy making involves the systematic way leaders of governments come up with decisions and design rules, regulations, and policies to respond to the needs and issues experienced by society. It entails an identification stage, consultation, drafting, implementation and assessment. In India, the process of policy-making entails a variety of actors, which are elected representatives, bureaucracy, expert committee, political parties, interest group, and more and more the citizenry. Though the key roles are played by the institutional mechanisms, i.e., the parliamentary discussions, planning organizations, and the consultations with ministers, the role of the public sentiment has increased in past decades. The attention to issues that need to be addressed by the government is usually attracted by public feedback, media debates, and citizen movements. The policy making process cannot be effective when greater focus is given to either the technical skill or community needs, long term national objectives and short term demand of the people, evidence-based decisions and political practicability.

V. INDIAN DEMOCRACY:

Indian democracy is the largest democratic system in the world which is founded on the aspect of political equality, constitutional governance, and meetings with the civilians. It is conducted, based on elections, multi-party competition, separation of powers, rule law and federalism. The cultural, linguistic and social diversity of the Indian democracy makes Indian democracy distinct as it influences political behaviour and the way it is governed. In India, the process of democratization has been experienced over the years and the level of involvement in the democratic form has increased including the marginalized community, larger launches of voters at the polls, and a greater involvement of the masses in other areas of the process. Nevertheless, such hurdles like economic disparity, political polarization, inefficiency within the administration, and bias in media still affect the operation of democracy. Indian democracy is strong because it is adaptive to social change and can integrate the views of different people into the decision-making processes.

VI. DIGITAL PARTICIPATION:

Digital participation Digital technology Digital technology Digital participation is the use of digital tools or technologies, social media, and online platforms, mobile apps, and e-governance portals to participate in politics and civic practices. It involves giving opinion, participating in online chat, positioning yourself in events of digital campaigns, accessing information and participating in government initiatives. The digital participation in India has increased at a high rate based on the rising numbers of internet access, smartphone penetration, and the digitalization efforts led by the governments. Now the citizens are able to criticize policies, gather support and have real time interaction with the public authorities. Digital participation has made the process more transparent and inclusive but has also created other issues like unequal accessibility, misinformation, data privacy and propagation of manipulated information. In spite of these problems, digital participation is already a potent resource that is defining the popular opinion and making a political decision.

VII. GOVERNANCE:

Governance is considered as the procedures, forms and systems by which the state power is conducted and the way the state conducts its business. It incorporates policymaking, law enforcement, regulating the services that are provided to the people, and accountability and transparency systems. Effective governance makes sure that institutions are efficient and responsive, inclusive of others and participatory. The governance in the Indian context entails interaction between the government agencies, elected parties, civil society bodies, press and the citizens. The growing societal expectation of accountability has led to reforms in the Indian government of the integration of feedback, citizen grievance, online service delivery, and participatory decision-making systems in governance. The quality of governance has a direct impact on the level of public trust, effectiveness of policies and general operations of democracy.

VIII. MEDIA INFLUENCE:

The impact of the media is the ability of the media platforms, print, television, radio, and digital, to influence the general population shape their views and opinions in politics and policy. The media acts as a mediator between the state and citizens through delivering information, revealing issues, and setting the agendas of a people. The media in India have grown tremendously and hence there has been fast sharing of news and political awareness. The media can help raise national concern over important issues like corruption, environmental degradation, social uprisings and performance of the government. There are however limitations to media influence. Public understanding may be misinterpreting through sensationalism, political-bias, commercial interests and misinformation. Digital media has increased competition in attention-getting and sometimes it has been fast and not accurate. Regardless of these issues, media has become an influential stakeholder in the opinion of the population and policy making.

IX. POLITICAL COMMUNICATION:

Political communication entails the flow of political communication between leaders, institutions, media and the people. It incorporates speeches, campaigns, debates, press releases, posts on social media, interviews, and announcements of policies. Trust communication creates good political communication by explaining and persuading citizens on matters related to democracy. Political communication in India has been developed to cover rallies and print media, to the advanced strategies of digital. The political leaders and parties manage to influence social media narratives, punish certain groups, and react to the arising issues. Although digital communication leads to a higher accessibility and engagement, it creates some threats as it brings about the issues of echo chambers, selective communication, and propaganda. The study of political communication is crucial in the examination of how the opinion of the people is developed and how policy-makers react to the demands of the people.

X. CONCLUSION:

This paper indicates that the masses have turned out to be a more and more powerful theme as far as policy formulation is concerned in the Indian democratic system. The literature reviewed reveals that the governance climate in India has shifted the traditional forms of governance, which is institution-based, to being more participatory and responsive to its people. The growth of media, electronic communication, and increased political issues have helped citizens to voice their concerns, analyze the quality of governance, and organize mobilization. This has produced a setting in which the expectations of the populace have become more apparent, immediate and heterogeneous and in which policymakers work.

The results have shown that the traditional determinants of the opinion of the populace, including the socio-cultural identities, networks of communities, and the local contexts remain significant. But digital engagement has come with a twist, allowing people to affect the political debate in real-time and providing platforms through which the mood of the people can quickly turn into national debates. This has increased transparency and accountability but it has also led to increased issues of misinformation, political partisanship and structural digital inequality. As such, there is a balance between governments catering to the valid needs of the population and curbing the risk of being misled or misinformed by fake or un-authenticated information that government must walk.

The review also points out that the responsiveness of a policy requires a strong democratic institution, media transparency, and that policymakers should become open to the views of various citizens. When it is backed by the effective communication, institutional transparency, and responsible governance institutions, then public opinion can result in significant changes to the policies. Simultaneously, the overdependence on the changing social mood may sabotage long-range planning and decisions making based on evidence.

Conclusively, the dynamics of the public opinion in India are changing, which indicates an opportunity and a complication in the maturing of democracy. Enhancement of democratic institutions, enhanced media accountability, enhanced digital literacy, and inclusion in participation is a necessity that would make sure that the people opinion has a positive impact on policymaking. With India still in the process of social and economic and technological growth, a proper consideration of citizen views into the governance process will be a key element in establishing a more responsive, stable as well as equitable political system.

REFERENCES:

- [1] A. Banerjee, " Political communication in the age of digital media: Evidence from India," *India Review*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 302– 320, 2019.
- [2] C. Bhatia, " Media power and political communication in contemporary India," *Asian Journal of Communication*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 377– 394, 2016.
- [3] S. Chaudhuri, " Citizenship, public opinion and political behavior in India," *Indian Journal of Political Science*, vol. 69, no. 4, pp. 745– 760, 2008.
- [4] R. Desai, " Social media and political mobilization in India," *Journal of Communication Studies*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 221– 233, 2013.
- [5] P. Jayal, " Democratic deepening in India: Participation and representation," *India Review*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 1– 24, 2009.
- [6] R. Kumar, " Public policy and citizen engagement: An Indian perspective," *Indian Journal of Public Administration*, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 122– 135, 2004.
- [7] S. Mehta, " Governance challenges in the digital era: An Indian perspective," *Indian Journal of Public Administration*, vol. 60, no. 4, pp. 723– 739, 2014.
- [8] S. Mitra, " Citizens, state and democracy: The Indian political landscape," *India Quarterly*, vol. 67, no. 2, pp. 109– 126, 2011.
- [9] A. Rajagopal, " The rise of media democracy in India," *Inter-Asia Cultural Studies*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 44– 63, 2005.
- [10] S. Shastri, " Electoral behavior in India: Changing patterns and trends," *Economic and Political Weekly*, vol. 36, no. 46, pp. 3982– 3989, 2001.
- [11] A. Sharma, " Public opinion and policy formulation in India: A critical examination," *Indian Journal of Political Science*, vol. 71, no. 2, pp. 299– 312, 2015.
- [12] N. Shrivastava, " Social media platforms and the transformation of public opinion in India," *Journal of Media and Society*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 112– 129, 2018.
- [13] A. Singh, " Democracy and governance reforms in India," *Journal of Governance and Public Policy*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 15– 28, 2006.

- [14] S. Pillai, “ Public opinion and public policy interactions in Indian democracy,” *Indian Journal of Political Science*, vol. 80, no. 3, pp. 441– 456, 2019.
- [15] A. Tillu, “ Public protests and policy response: A study of citizen movements in India,” *Journal of Social and Political Studies*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 55– 67, 2012.
- [16] U. Thussu, “ Television news and changing public discourse in India,” *Journal of Media Studies*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 101– 116, 2007.
- [17] Y. Yadav, “ Understanding political participation in India: Emerging patterns and trends,” *Economic and Political Weekly*, vol. 45, no. 37, pp. 42– 49, 2010.
- [18] V. Gupta, “ Digital participation and democratic engagement in India,” *Journal of Digital Democracy*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 45– 60, 2017.
- [19] N. Gupta, “ Media, public opinion and democratic participation in India,” *Indian Journal of Political Science*, vol. 63, no. 3, pp. 327– 344, 2002.

